Review Template

* 1. *Please remove all italic/grey comments before submission.*

Paper ID: **[copy of the filename]**

1. Write a short (2-3) sentence about the paper.
   1. *Please summarize the paper in your own words. Only 2-3 sentence that reflect your core understanding of the paper.*
2. Relevance: Does the paper fit in the overall topic?
   1. *Please state, from your understanding, does the paper fit to the given method? Have the authors made clear why their paper fulfils the task.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Relevance:** | 1 (poor) | 2 | 3 (good) | 4 | 5 (excellent) |

1. Technical Soundness
   1. *Does the paper present the theoretical foundations and explains them well?*
   2. *This addresses the technical presentation of the method: do the authors present core formulas/theoretical foundations? Can you follow the explanation? Are there gaps in the description?*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Technical Soundness:** | 1 (poor) | 2 | 3 (good) | 4 | 5 (excellent) |

1. Clarity and Presentation
   1. *Has the paper a clear and logical presentation and is the paper understandable without requiring too much effort on the part of the reader?*
   2. *Is the paper well-written and well-structured?*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Clarity:** | 1 (poor) | 2 | 3 (good) | 4 | 5 (excellent) |

1. Does the paper point out current (successful) use of the method?
   1. *Do the authors name and briefly describe the recent applications?*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Current applications:** | 1 (poor) | 2 | 3 (good) | 4 | 5 (excellent) |

1. List 3 good points of the paper and make 3 suggestions how to improve the paper.
2. List of suggestions / recommendations
   1. *This is an open/optional section if you have spelling errors or recommendations that don’t fit in the previous categories.*